Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/563,957	FUNAYAMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ATIBA O. FITZPATRICK	2624
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>ATIBA O. FITZPATRICK</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Stephen L. Keefe</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 May 2010	Time: <u>10:00 am</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 103		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: Heinzmann and Park		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/A. O. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 2624	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was informed that Examiner carefully considered the specification particularly determining what the specification stated is a key improvement over the prior art. Applicant was told that Examiner performed a thorough search of amended claim language being proposed and that it was deemed to distinguish over the prior art. Applicant agreed to such an Examiner's Amendment (refer to the Examiner's amendment portion of the concurrent Notice of Allowability to view this amendment)